



Date: Thursday, 11 December 2014

Time: 2.00 pm

Venue: Shrewsbury/Oswestry Room, Shirehall, Abbey Foregate, Shrewsbury, Shropshire, SY2 6ND

Contact: Linda Jeavons, Committee Officer
Tel: 01743 252738
Email: linda.jeavons@shropshire.gov.uk

CENTRAL PLANNING COMMITTEE

SCHEDULE OF ADDITIONAL LETTERS

NOTE: This schedule reports only additional letters received before 5pm on the day before committee. Any items received on the day of Committee will be reported verbally to the meeting

This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 11

CENTRAL PLANNING COMMITTEE		
SCHEDULE OF ADDITIONAL LETTERS		
Date: Thursday 11th December 2014		
NOTE: This schedule reports only additional letters received before 5pm on the day before committee. Any items received on the day of Committee will be reported verbally to the meeting		
Item No.	Application No.	Originator:
5.	Proposed Closure of New College Road at Wenlock Road	Resident
<p>A local resident who is included as an objector to the scheme wishes to clarify the reasons for their objection and raises a number of challenges to the officer report. The resident highlights that the proposal is not strictly in accordance with the s106 agreement with the college and raises a number of suggestions they have been made in their communications with officers and members regarding this proposal. The resident would have spoken against the item, but an objector had already registered to speak before them.</p>		
Item No.	Application No.	Originator:
5.	Proposed Closure of New College Road at Wenlock Road	Resident
See attached		
Item No.	Application No.	Originator:
6.	Former Shelton Hospital, Somerby Drive, Shrewsbury (14/02402/FUL)	Shelton Residents Association
<p>A petition containing the names and addresses of 1060 signatures has been submitted objecting to the scheme.</p>		
Item No.	Application No.	Originator:
6.	Former Shelton Hospital, Somerby Drive, Shrewsbury (14/02402/FUL)	Resident
<p>A local resident has highlighted that Section 28 (Ownership Certificate) of the Application Form did not include Shropshire Council as land owner of part of the site to where it is proposed to relocate the bowling green. An amended Application Form has since been submitted and as a decision will not be released within 21 days of the Committee, Members are still able to provide a resolution.</p>		
Item No.	Application No.	Originator:
6.	Former Shelton Hospital, Somerby Drive, Shrewsbury (14/02402/FUL)	Resident
<p>A local resident has challenged Officer's interpretation of their comments paraphrased in Section 4.2.3 of the Committee Report which states that <i>"the proposal will result in the felling of 90 trees, some of which are TPO'd and there is little information about the proposed replacement trees"</i>.</p>		

The local resident actually stated that '90 trees to be felled have Tree Preservation Orders'.

Officers acknowledge that the development does involve the removal of a large number of trees, approximately 90 of which are TPO'd, however this has been assessed by the Council's Tree Officer who has no objection subject to the attachment of a tree protection condition.

Item No.	Application No.	Originator:
8.	Proposed Residential Development to the NW of Ford, Shrewsbury (14/03451/FUL)	Local Residents

Two further letters have been received from local residents continuing to object to the scheme, raising the following issues about the Addendum and original Committee Report:

- The proposal is contrary to the Shropshire Core Strategy
- The development is not sustainable, economically, environmentally or socially.
- The proposal will have a detrimental impact on residential amenity
- Vehicular access along the bridleway is not satisfactory.
- Loss of agricultural land.
- Technical issues in respect of Risk Management, Human Rights, Equalities.

Copies of these letters are available on the Online Planning Register.

Item No.	Application No.	Originator:
9.	Princess House, The Square, Shrewsbury, Shropshire (14/04383/FUL)	Public comment: D Aubrey

Supports the application in principle. However, I have concerns about the materials to be used, an issue which requires careful consideration given the historic setting. It is also unlikely that solar panels would be suitable on the exterior facing into the town square, since they would be facing in a northwest direction and would therefore receive insufficient sunlight to operate effectively. As such, I support the request of English Heritage for there to be strict planning conditions applied in relation to the materials used for cladding. I would hope that such conditions ensure that a more vernacular material is used so that the building will appear more in keeping with neighbouring historic buildings.

PROPOSED CLOSURE OF NEW COLLEGE ROAD AT WENLOCK ROAD

I would like to take this opportunity to assure Committee that support for making New College Road into a cul-de sac is not just limited to the 12 households which have submitted letters of support for the proposal. From my involvement with and feedback from all the 24 households which make up New College Road I can confidently say that they all support the closure and that none object to it being carried out at the Wenlock Road end. This is without doubt the best way to ensure that all 100% of the rat-running traffic is directed back onto the Distributor Network where it is properly catered for and expected to be.

Over many preceding years our narrow Local Road has been subjected to ever increasing use as a rat-run and has reached the point where at least two-thirds of the traffic presently using it is non local.

The planned 50% increase of people attending the college together with the development of commercial enterprises such as the Hair and Beauty Treatment Salon and Origins Restaurant can only significantly increase the volume of out of area traffic using it to truly unacceptable levels unless something is done.

It is not, however, only the excessively high number of vehicles on the road that is a problem, it is also the behaviour of a significant number of the drivers that causes alarm and fear for safety amongst us residents. The last comprehensive survey that counted the vehicle numbers also revealed that the average speed on the road was over 31 miles per hour and that the maximum speed recorded was an alarming 51.5 miles per hour .

Obviously everyone appreciates that such a closure would mean that some extra travel and inconvenience would be caused for residents, especially those at the Wenlock Road end, but everyone that actually lives on the road agrees that this is a small acceptable price to pay for the significant improvement a closure would bring in environmental and safety terms.

I would therefore sincerely request on behalf of all the residents that this Committee approves the Officer's recommendation which embodies the ideals of the Council's Core Strategy Policy No.6.

Thank you.

This page is intentionally left blank